Risk stratification for CIED infection: What is the clinical impact of WRAP-IT?

> Mauro Biffi Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Bologna, Italy

### **The Many Faces of CIED Infection**



Pocket infection/erosion

Valvular Endocarditis





Lead endocarditis : hot pocket and lead Vegetations non-mandatory



Persistent Gram++ bacteremia with no other cause

### Rising Rates of CIED Infections in the US: 1996 through 2003 Current Prevalence 1-4%



Based on the National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS)

A. Voigt J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2006;48;590-591

### Mortality after CIED removal



# Cause of Death

- Sepsis
- Multiorgan system failure
- Congestive heart failure
- Stroke
- Renal failure
- Extraction related

6 patients 10 patients 4 patients 2 patients 1 patient 2 patients

### **Infection Impact on Health Systems**

 Mortality at 12 months at least 20% for endovascular infection despite hardware removal and optimal therapy

 Costs range from 50000 to 146000 \$ for infection management, with intensive care stay and management of complications accounting for the largest charge

7

# Who is at RISK of CIED Infection ?

Patient's Profile

Device Type

• Procedure Characteristics

### **Patient's Profile**

#### DIABETES

•	Bull	Ramsdale 1984	1.29	0.07	23.09		+		+	
		Bluhm 1984	4.42	0.92	21.11			-	-	
	Bull	Glieca 1987	0.72	0.09	5.88				-	
•	Dun	Klug 2007	1.21	0.48	3.06					
	Cu	Oliveira 2009	2.47	0.75	8.18			-	-	
	Su	Romeyer 2010	1.57	0.47	5.26			-	-	
	Cu	Uslan 2012	2.44	1.05	5.66			-	-	
	• Su	Bloom 2006	3.22	1.54	6.73			-	E I	
		Sohail 2007	1.63	0.57	4.63				-2	
		Marschall 2007	1.79	0.59	5.44			-	-	
		Lekkerkerker 2008	3.72	1.15	12.01			-	-	
•	Bulle	Gould 2008	0.86	0.22	3.28		-	-		
		Nery 2010	2.07	0.74	5.80			-	-	
•	Bull	Sohail 2011	1.45	0.76	2.78			-		
		Raad 2012	2.00	0.68	5.91			-	-	
	• Su	Hercé 2013	4.70	1.65	13.38			-	-	
	54	Spinier 1998	13.94	3.05	63.72			14	-	-
	• Su	Cengiz 2010	1.60	0.88	2.89					
	54		2.08	1.62	2.67			٠		
						0.01	0.1	1	10	100
						Decrea	ases ris	sk Incr	ease	s risk

COPD

Oliveira 2009	5.81	0.68	49.52	1
Uslan 2012	1.92	0.75	4.96	
Sohail 2007	3.37	0.97	11.78	
Gould 2008	3.40	0.51	22.50	
Sohail 2011	3.66	1.49	8.96	
Raad 2012	2.50	0.50	12.44	
	2.95	1.78	4.90	4
			C	0.01



OR 2.08





www.escardio.org/EHRA

ESRD						0	R 8	3.73	3
Romeyer 2010	17.10	3.58	81.71		1	1	-		1
Bloom 2006	2.61	0.49	13.87				-		
Sohail 2007	6.16	0.24	155.95		-	-	-	-	
Sohail 2011	4.29	1.04	17.72						
Dasgupta 2007	6.26	0.55	70.88			-	-	-	
Ito 2009	17.29	0.66	453.44			-	-	-	
Bloom 2011	1.62	0.08	31.74		-	_	_		
Tompkins 2011	66.90	14.30	313.01				-		
42.0256.2010.000.0200000	8.73	3.42	22.31				-		
				0.01	0.1	1	10	100	È
			Г	locroa	ene rie	k Inc	roseo	e riek	

#### **RENAL INSUFF.**

OR 3.02

Renal insufficiency (C	R, 95% C	D				
Bloom 2006	7.17	2.91	17.65	I I	1 -	1
Sohail 2007	1.54	0.62	3.84			
Lekkerkerker 2008	3.94	1.64	9.49			
Sohail 2011	1.25	0.65	2.39			
Tompkins 2011	10.83	1.30	90.17			-
	3.02	1.38	6.64		-	
			0.0	01 0.1	1 10	100

3.75

4.04 6.43

1.44

0.40

1.75

2.23

CANCER

Bloom 2006	
Sohail 2007	
Lekkerkerker 2008	
Sohail 2011	
Raad 2012	
Cengiz 2010	
572	

0.75	18.69	
1.18	13.76	
0.76	54.82	
0.58	3.57	
0.02	8.07	
0.39	7.75	
1.26	3.95	

0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Decreases risk Increases risk

Decreases Increases

OR 2.23

EUROPEAN

ASSOCIATION

A Repaired Branch of the BIC



### **Factors related to the procedure**

#### Replacement/revision/upgrade OR 1.98

Muers 1981	0.56	0.11	2.72		-		- 1	
Ramsdale 1984	1.36	0.51	3.62			-	-	
Bluhm 1984	2.21	0.42	11.53					
Glieca 1987	0.13	0.01	2.17	<b>K</b>		-	-	
Klug 2007	1.79	0.97	3.30				-	
Oliveira 2009	0.26	0.07	0.94					
Romeyer 2010	2.45	0.51	11.84			-		
Metais 2011	0.20	0.01	3.54		-	-	-	
Bloom 2006	2.24	1.04	4.82			H	-	
Sohail 2007	9.89	3.07	31.91				-	-
Marschall 2007	3.85	1.30	11.39			-		
Lekkerkerker 2008	3.00	1.54	5.84			-	-	
Nery 2010	3.82	1.45	10.08			-		
Sohail 2011	1.75	0.96	3.18				-	
Rao 1974	7.21	0.37	140.56		1		-	-
Mugica 1977	1.27	0.56	2.91			-	-	
Hartstein 1978	0.09	0.01	1.53	<	-			
Wunderly 1990	3.90	0.94	16.13				-	
Spinler 1998	0.51	0.06	4.21			-		
Harcombe 1998	3.79	1.34	10.71			-		
Higgins 2000	14.69	0.75	289.40			-	-	-
Mela 2001	1.33	0.55	3.22			-	-	
Gil 2006	1.65	0.47	5.78			-		
Cengiz 2010	2.29	1.08	4.88			H	-	
Bloom 2011	3.35	0.17	65.25					-
Palmisano 2013	3.63	1.61	8.19					
	1.98	1.46	2.69					
Europace (20	15) 17,	767-	777	0.01 Decr	0.1 eases r	1 isk	10 Increas	100 ses risk

#### www.escardio.org/EHRA

TEMPOR	ARY	PA	CEM	IAK	ER	0	R 2.31
Mounsey 1994	2.18	0.66	7.20	1	1	+	1
Aggarwal 1995	8.08	2.07	31.50			-	-
Klug 2007	3.58	1.48	8.65				
Oliveira 2009	1.94	0.52	7.21				
Sohail 2007	0.61	0.18	2.10		-	-	
Sohail 2011	3.49	1.10	11.13				R
Raad 2012	1.00	0.24	4.18				
Kiviniemi 1999	0.48	0.06	3.97		-	-	_
Pakarinen 2010	4.36	1.12	17.04				-
Bloom 2011	5.59	0.28	112.92		-	-	
	2.31	1.36	3.92			•	
				0.01	0.1	1 1	0 100
LEAD DI	SLO	DGI	MEN	Т		0	R 6.36
Ramsdale 1984	0.75	0.04	4 12.9	3 1	-1-	-	- 1

146.03 43.88 17.94 16.32 13.82

Ramsdale 1984	0.75	0.04
Glieca 1987	18.60	2.37
Nounsey 1994	12.55	3.59
Romeyer 2010	4.53	1.15
Very 2010	4.47	1.22
	6.36	2.93

93	-		+	
03				*
88		-	-	
94			-	
32			-	
82		-	-	
0.0	1 0.1	1 1	0 1	00
Decrea	ses risk	Increa	ases	risk

OR 9.89

#### PROCEDURE DURATION

Mounsey 1994	22.00	8.23	35.77	1	1	1
Bertaglia 2006	-19.80	-43.98	4.38		-	+
Oliveira 2009	19.50	0.39	38.61			
Romeyer 2010	30.00	15.10	44.90			
Metais 2011	-2.00	-41.05	37.05	-	-	-
Jslan 2012	9.80	-13.52	33.12			-
ekkerkerker 2008	1.00	-13.56	15.56			-
Gould 2008	5.00	-1.22	11.22			T
Spinler 1998	0.00	-50.88	50.88			•
	9.89	0.52	19.25			
				-50	-25	ó



Records of the second seco

EUROPEAN SOCIETY OF ASSOCIATION CARDIOLOGY\*

### Infection risk at repeated CIED interventions



Same Observation in PADIT:

The 3rd pocket procedure increases 3-fold the infection risk

THIS is why LONGEVITY is a KEY factor in CIEDs !!!!!!

#### Eduardo Arana-Rueda et al. Clin Cardiol 2017;40:892-898

# **Bacterial Colonization of PG Pockets**

- CIED infections acquired at time of surgery, present to up to 3-6 months.
- Inoculation of Gram ++ endogenous skin flora.
- Contamination of device pocket during insertion is frequent
  - Bacteria recovered in 37% of patients
  - Coagulase negative Staphylococci, MSSA or MRSA.
- \*122 pts without clinical infection undergoing PG replacement or lead revision. Cultures of pockets and leads obtained.
- 40/122 (33%) culture positive (coagulase negative Staph sp.).
- 7.5% of these went on to develop infection over 108 <u>+</u> 73 days with same organism.



Da Costa A. et al. Circulation. 1998;97(18):1791 \*Kleemann, TJ et al. Europace (2010) 12, 58–63.

## **Microbiology of CIED infections**



### Cefazolin vs. Saline RCT (2009)

Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2009 2, 29

- 1<sup>st</sup> Randomized, controlled, blinded, trial to prove that pre-operative antibiotics are effective in preventing CRMD related infections:
  - Cefazolin 0.63% vs. Saline 3.28% CRMD related infections (p=0.016)

#### Study Limitations:

- 100% of infections in cefazolin group were caused by cefazolin-sensitive isolates (i.e. methicillinsensitive).
- Low number of patients w/ methicillin-resistance compared to US hospitals:

Methicillin-Resistant	de Oliveira	U.S. Hospitals
S. aureus	13%	55-60%
Coag (-) Staph	60%	80-90%

#### "High Risk" patients excluded:

- antibiotic use, surgery w/in 30 days, previous infection w/in 30 days.
- prosthetic heart valves, lead revision for dislodgement

# The Problem of "Prolonged Prophylaxis" in medical device recipients

- Persistence of the Device in human body
- Biofilm Formation on the Device Surface
- Sealing of tissue takes time



• Prophylaxis should prolong until tissue sealing at the Entry site

#### *MOST DIFFICULT CASE Central Venous Lines, Dyalisis Catheters*



www.escardio.org/EHRA

# PADIT - Incremental prophylaxis



Hospitalization attributed to device infection

#### **ADJUNCTIVE ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS**

PATHOGENS RESPONSIBLE FOR CIED INFECTIONS	SINGLE-AGENT THERAPY				TYRX
	<b>Cefazolin</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vancomycin <sup>1</sup>	Gentamicin <sup>1</sup>	Topical Ionic Silver <sup>2-5</sup>	Minocycline & Rifampicin <sup>1,6-8</sup>
Coagulase (-) Staphylococcus (e.g., S epidermidis)			Variable Activity		
Methicillin-sensitive S aureus (MSSA)			Variable Activity		
Methicillin-resistant S aureus (MRSA)			Variable Activity		
E coli					
H influenzae					
M catarrhalis				No Data	
Corynebacterium jeikeium				No Data	

- Cefazolin & vancomycin are rarely used in combination and have important clinical deficiencies when used as a single agent to help prevent CIED Infections.<sup>1</sup>
  - Substantial overlap; both have activity against gram (+) organisms<sup>1</sup>
  - Neither has a strong profile against gram (-) organisms<sup>1</sup>

- Gentamicin has variable activity against coagulase (-) Staphylococcus, MSSA, and MRSA, and may be effective in some infections, but not reliably effective in others.<sup>1</sup>
- Topical Ionic Silver does not have activity against coagulase (-) Staphylococcus and has no data to support coverage in M catarrhalis or Corynebacterium jeikeium.<sup>2-5</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> The Sanford Guide to Antimicrobial Therapy. Web Edition. 2015: Antimicrobial Therapy Inc.; Hyde Park, VT. 2. Lansdown A et al. Issues in Toxicology. 2010:5.3:123. 3. Percival SL et al. Wound Repair Regeneration. 2011;19(6): 767-774. Online publication. 4. Argentum Medical. SilverIon® Product Brochure. 5. Townsend Letter for Doctors & Patients. April 2006; Issue 273: 66-72. 6. Zinner SH et al. J Infect Dis. 1981;144(4):365-371. 7. Darouiche RO et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 1995;6(1):31-36. 8. Segreti J et al. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 1989;12(3):253-255.

# **Prolonged topical Prophylaxis**

- Provides bactericidal activity sustained for 7-14 days >>> longer than PADIT
- Effective against methicillin resistant cocci
- Effective against NON-staph pathogens
- High topical concentration without systemic effects



TYRX™ Absorbable Antibacterial Envelope



## **Tyrosine controlled elution – The polymer is The Science!**



Proprietary combination of polymer with antibiotic agents Minocycline & Rifampicin. Tyrosine-based polymer controls the drug release – MIC maintained in 2 hours, MIC for minimum of 7 days<sup>1</sup>



#### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

### Antibacterial Envelope to Prevent Infections of Cardiac Implantable Devices

Khaldoun G. Tarakji, M.D., M.P.H., Suneet Mittal, M.D., Charles Kennergren, M.D., Ph.D., Ralph Corey, M.D., Jeanne E. Poole, M.D., Edward Schloss, M.D., Jose Gallastegui, M.D., Robert A. Pickett, M.D., Rudolph Evonich, M.D., François Philippon, M.D., Janet M. McComb, M.D., Steven F. Roark, M.D., Denise Sorrentino, M.D., Darius Sholevar, M.D., Edmond Cronin, M.B., B.Ch., B.A.O., Brett Berman, M.D., David Riggio, M.D., Mauro Biffi, M.D., Hafiza Khan, M.D., Marc T. Silver, M.D., Jack Collier, M.D., Zayd Eldadah, M.D., Ph.D., David J. Wright, M.D., Jeff D. Lande, Ph.D., Daniel R. Lexcen, Ph.D., Alan Cheng, M.D., and Bruce L. Wilkoff, M.D., for the WRAP-IT Investigators\*

### **WRAP-IT Study Definitions of CIED Infection, Major Infection**

### CIED infections were defined as:

- 1) Superficial cellulitis with wound dehiscence, erosion, or purulent drainage, or
- 2) Deep incisional or generator pocket infection
- 3) Persistent bacteremia
- 4) Endocarditis

# <u>Major CIED infections</u> were defined as CIED infections resulting in one or more of the following:

- CIED system removal
- Any invasive procedure (e.g. pocket opened) without system removal
- Extended antibiotic therapy if the patient was not a candidate for system removal
- Death

Note: All other CIED infections including superficial incisional surgical site infections that meet the CDC criteria, independent of the time from surgery, were defined as minor CIED infections unless they met the major CIED infection criteria

# WRAP-IT Study Primary Endpoint: Major CIED Infection

40% Reduction in Major CIED Infections with TYRX through 12 Months



Tarakji KG. N Engl J Med 2019; 380(20): 1895-1905

# WRAP-IT Study Primary Endpoint: Major CIED Infection

67% Reduction in Major CIED Infections in ICD/CRTD "second surgery"

	Control Group (3485)	TYRX group (3490)	
Low Power	7/866 (0.8%)	7/856 (0.8%)	
First CRTD implants	3/586 (0.5%)	7/536 (1.3%)	
ICD/CRTD replacement- upgrade-revision	32/2033 (1.57%)	11/2098 (0.52%)	NNT=100

# **Key Facts of WRAP-IT and PADIT**

• Nearly 27,000 patients randomized

- Very low infection rate in control arms !!
- Hard to prove statistical significance ......

IS THIS THE REAL WORLD ?????



# A Strict Prospective Strategy of Prevention by itself HALVES CIED infections

#### **TABLE 3** Infection rate in retrospective and prospective studies

Study	Enrollment period	Study type	TYRX use	Patients	CIED type	Procedure	FU time	Infection rate, %
Ludwig <sup>19</sup>	2010-2013	Retrospective	No	4699	ICD/CRTD	All	12 mo	3.4
Clémenty <sup>18</sup>	2012	Retrospective	No	9465	ICD/CRTD	All	12 mo	2.3
REPLACE <sup>32</sup>	2007-2008	Prospective	No	1744	All	All	6 mo	1.3
Ahsan <sup>13</sup>	2004-2009	Retrospective	No	1798	All	All	12 mo	1.33
		Prospective	No	981				0.62
Kolek <sup>27</sup>	2005-2010	Retrospective	No	636	All	All	300 d	3.1
	2009-2014	Prospective	Yes	488				0.2
PADIT <sup>15</sup>	2013-2016	Prospective	No	12 826	All	All	12 mo	1.03, conventional antibiotic
								0.78, incremental antibiotic
DECODE <sup>31</sup>	2013-2015	Prospective	No	983	ICD/CRTD	All	12 mo	1.2

Abbreviations: CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device; CRTD, cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator; ICD, implantable cardioverterdefibrillator; FU, follow-up.

### **Detect long-term complications after ICD/CRTD replacement (DECODE)**

*Purpose:* To evaluate patient's profile and procedure characteristics as potential predictors of major AEs over 12 months after **ICD/CRT-D** replacement/upgrade in a large real-world population.

26.6 26.6

46.8

- Prospective, single-arm, multicenter cohort study of CONSECUTIVE ALL-COMERS
- ICD/CRT-D replacement/upgrade from 2013 to 2015 ALL MANUFACTURERS
- All clinical and survival data of these patients at 12-month follow-up
- Death from any causes, surgical interventions to treat complications related to the procedure and overall infective AEs during follow-up.

Clinical and demograph	Device Replaced	
Age, y	71 [63-77]	Single-chamber/VDD
LVEF, %	35 [30-45]	Double-chamber
BMI	26.3 [24-29.4]	CRT-D, %
eGFR	63.3 [44.5-84]	
Gender Male, n (%)	750 (76.3)	
NYHA I	191 (19.4)	
NYHA II	553 (56.3)	
NYHA III	225 (22.9)	~
NYHA IV	14 (1.4)	
History of AF, n (%)	372 (37.8)	ITALIANS
AV node ablation, n (%)	41 (4.2)	
Ischemic Cardiomyopathy, n (%)	537 (54.6)	DO
PTCA/CABG within 6 months prior to	05 (07)	
the procedure, n (%)	95 (9.7)	
Diabetes, n (%)	282 (28.7)	BETTER
Hypertension, n (%)	608 (61.9)	BETTER
Chronic Kidney Disease, n (%)	249 (25.3)	
Hospitalization within 30 days prior	73 (7.4)	
to the procedure, n (%)	, , , , ,	

#### Results:

- 983 consecutive patients
- mean follow-up duration of 353±49 days
- 7% of the patients died (60.6% for CV reasons)
- CIED infection in 12 (1.2%) patients
- Bleeding complications in 25 (2.5%)
- Lead related complications in 26 (2.6%)



CLINICAL RESEARCH Pacing and resynchronization therapy

#### A simple infection-control protocol to reduce serious cardiac device infections

Syed Y. Ahsan\*, Bunny Saberwal, Pier D. Lambiase, Chieh Y. Koo, Simon Lee, Aerokondal B. Gopalamurugan, Dominic P. Rogers, Martin D. Lowe, and Anthony W. C. Chow



#### Table 2 Infection rates for all device procedures Infections (n) Year **Procedure number (***n***)** 95% CI (%) Reduction in infection rate (P) Rate (%) 2004 - 5643 9 1.40 0.7 - 2.7N/A 2005 - 6598 1.17 0.5 - 2.5N/A 2006 - 7557 1.44 0.7 - 2.9N/A 8 09 - 20Pre-intervention total 1798 24 1.33 N/A 2007 - 8617 0.49 0.1 - 1.50.041 3 2008 - 9364 3 0.82 0.2 - 2.50.370 Post-intervention total 981 0.62 0.3 - 1.40.028 6

<sup>†</sup>Table showing infection rates by year, as well as total pre- and post-intervention rates.

# **NEED to assess TYRX efficacy in**



- Re-Do CIED surgery: UPGRADE, Lead Revision/Repositioning
- Device type: CRTD vs others
- High risk subgroups
- Potential for WRAP-IT SUBANALYSIS



# **TYRX : new Gold Standard in CIED Infection Prevention**

- Individualized approach ?
- "NO EFFICACY" subgroups ?

Economic impact to be analysed



#### The PADIT risk score

Risk factor	Points
<b>1. Prior procedures</b> None One Two	0 1 4
<b>2. Age</b> Younger than 60 years old 60-69 years old At least 70 years old	2 1 0
<b>3. Renal insufficiency</b> Yes No	1 0
<b>4. Immunocompromised</b> Yes No	3 0
5. Type of procedure Pacemaker Implantable cardioverter defibrillator	0 2
Cardiac resynchronization therapy device Revision or upgrade	4 5





Figure: Rate of device infection stratified by PADIT infection risk score

Minimum points = 0; maximum points = 15.

# **High Risk situations : TYRX strongly recommended**

Early pocket re-entry (<60 days)	Lead dislodgement Hematoma Pocket revision
Depressed Immune Defense	Chronic inflammatory diseases on steroids Oncologic disease treated < 6 months Chronic infective process End-stage renal disease / Dialysis
Vulnerability to Surgical Site Infection	Hospitalization within 30 days Index hospitalization longer than 7 days Previous CIED infection Chronic skin disease Pending skin issue by inside-out pressure
<b>Complex procedures</b>	Lead extraction and re-implantation Upgrade (one or more lead addition) Multiple (> 2) pocket entries

### **Prevention : general strategy + TYRX**

- Adherence to a strict prevention protocol
- Prospective surveillance of the center performance, High operator volume (60/year)
- Comprehensive patients evaluation Before and During CIED surgery >> Individualized I.V. antibiotics
- Use TYRX in high risk settings

#### **Patients Hospitalised > 6 days**

Vancomycin 90-120 minutes before skin incision

Daptomycin 6 mg/kg 30 minutes before skin incision

### **ICU Patients**

Vancomycin 90-120 minutes before skin incision

If intolerant, Daptomycin 6 mg/kg 30 minutes before skin incision



### Oxacillin resistance according to site of acquisition in CIED Infection: The Mayo Clinic Experience



### Minocycline/Rifampicin Coating Significantly Reduce Infections

### **5 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS (RCTS)**<sup>1-5</sup>



 Combination of Minocycline and Rifampicin reduces the risk of infection by a factor of <u>4.8</u> (relative risk = 0.2)



Combination of Minocycline and Rifampicin reduces the risk of infection caused by the two most common pathogens (coagulase (-) *Staphylococcus* and *S aureus*) associated with CIED Infections by a factor of <u>20.6</u> (relative risk = 0.05)

**1.** Hanna H et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(15):3163-3171. **2.** Leon C et al. *Intensive Care Med.* 2004;30(10):1891-1899. **3.** Zambramski JM et al. *J Neurosurg.* 2003;98(4):725-730. **4.** Chatzinikoraou i et al. *Ann Intern Med.* 1997;127(4):267-274.

### Localized Delivery of Synergistic, Broad-Spectrum Antibiotics

MINOCYCLINE activity against CIED infection pathogens <sup>1</sup>		<b>RIFAMPIN activity against CIED infection pathogens<sup>1</sup></b>	
GRAM (+) BACTERIA	GRAM (-) BACTERIA	GRAM (+) BACTERIA GRAM (-) BACTERIA	
S aureus S pneumoniae	E coli M catarrhalis	S aureus (including MRSA) H influenzae S epidermidis M catarrhalis C jeikeium S pneumoniae	
<b>MECHANISM OF ACTION</b> Bacteriostatic; inhibits protein synthesis		MECHANISM OF ACTION Bacteriocidal; inhibits DNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity	

- Minimum inhibitory concentration reached within 2 hours of implant, maintained for 7 days
- Uses <5% of recommended oral daily dosage, non-systemic<sup>1,2</sup>
  - Medium size Envelope: 8.0 mg rifampin, 5.1 mg minocycline
  - Large size Envelope: 11.9 mg rifampin, 7.6 mg minocycline

### Antibiotic sensitivity of MRSA embedded in biofilm



ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS AND CHEMOTHERAPY, 2007, 1656-1660

### **STAPHYLOCOCCI** in the SKIN

80% of skin bugs reside on the corneal layer

20% is embedded in biofilms within hair follicles and glands

Recolonization occurs very soon after antiseptic use

